



GROWTH AND YIELD OF SINGLE CROSS HYBRID MAIZE (*ZEAMAYS* L.) CV. HQPM-1 AS INFLUENCED BY INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

M. C. BUNKER, A. M. PATEL, H. P. VERMA^{1*} AND BALWAN¹

Department of Agronomy, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Gujarat) -385 506, India

Received: 12.03.2014

Revised accepted: 16.04.2014

ABSTRACT

Keywords:

CGR, FYM,
INM, Maize,
Nutrients,
RGR, Yield

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2011 on loamy soil to study of growth and yield on single cross hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) cv. HQPM-1 as influenced by integrated nutrient management. The experiment consisted of 12 treatments T₁ (100% NPK with RDF100:60:40 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O/ha), T₂ (100% NPK + Zn @ 3kg/ha), T₃ (100% NPK + S @ 40 kg/ha), T₄ (100% NPK + Zn @ 3 kg + S @ 40 kg/ha), T₅ (100% NPK + Seed treatment with *Azotobacter* @ 30 g/kg seed), T₆ (FYM @ 5 t/ha + 100% NPK), T₇ (100% NPK + FYM @ 5 t/ha), T₈ (FYM @ 10 t/ha), T₉ (125% NPK), T₁₀ (100% NP), T₁₁ (100% N) and T₁₂ (Control) tested in randomized block design with four replications. The results indicated T₇ (100% NPK + FYM @ 5 t/ha) showed significantly higher the plant height, dry matter accumulation, CGR, cob weight, cob length, weight of grains cob shelling percent, 1000 grain weight, seed yield and straw yield over control and other treatments. Whereas, the CGR, no. of cobs/plant and harvest index unchanged under different treatments

INTRODUCTION

Maize is considered as the "Queen of Cereals". Being a C₄ plant, it is capable to utilize solar radiation more efficiently even at higher radiation intensity. In India, Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important cereal crop after rice and wheat that provides food, feed, fodder and serves as a sources of basic raw material for the number of industrial products *viz.*, starch, protein, oil, alcoholic beverages, food sweeteners, cosmetics, bio-fuel etc. No other cereal is being used in as many ways as maize. Maize is an exhaustive crop and requires high quantities of nitrogen during the period of efficient utilization, particularly at 25 DAS and pre-teaselling (40 DAS) stages for higher productivity. Nitrogen is indispensable for increasing crop production as a constituent of protoplasm and chlorophyll and is associated with the activity of every living cell. Similarly, phosphorus also plays an important role in energy storage and transfer in the plant system. In addition, phosphorus is an important constituent of nucleic acids, phytins, phospholipids and enzymes. Several workers have reported the beneficial effects of NPK fertilization on productivity of maize (Mehta *et al.*, 2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2011 at Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, (Gujarat) in randomized block design with four replications. The soil was loamy in texture, neutral in reaction (pH 7.17), low in organic carbon (0.43%), available medium nitrogen (315 kg/ha), available medium phosphorus (23 kg P₂O₅/ha), medium in potassium (281 kg K₂O/ha) content, Available S (20 mg/kg) and DTPA Extractable Zn (3.65 mg/kg). The experiment consisted of 12 treatments T₁ {100% NPK (RDF100:60:40 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O/ha)}, T₂ (100% NPK +Zn @ 3kg/ha), T₃ (100% NPK +S @ 40 kg/ha), T₄ (100% NPK +Zn @ 3 kg/ha + S @ 40 kg/ha), T₅ (100%NPK + Seed treatment with *Azotobacter* @ 30 g/kg seed), T₆ (FYM @ 5 t/ha + 100% NPK), T₇ (100% NPK + FYM @ 5 t/ha), T₈ (FYM @ 10 t/ha), T₉ (125% NPK), T₁₀ (100% NP), T₁₁ (100% N) and T₁₂ (Control). RDF was 100:60:40 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O/ha. The maize cv. HQPM-1 was sown on 14th July, 2011 using seed rate 20 kg/ha with a row spacing of 60 cm. The crop was harvested on 12st November, 2011.

*Corresponding author email: hppersoya.p@gmail.com

Table 1 Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield attributes of maize

Treatment	Plant height (cm)		Dry matter (g/plant)		CGR (g/m ² /day)	RGR (g/g/day)	Cobs/plant	Cob weight (g)
	60 DAS	At Harvest	60 DAS	At Harvest				
T ₁ :100% NPK (RDF)	163.55	199.27	89.70	141.50	21.02	0.048	1.05	115.90
T ₂ :100% NPK + Zn @ 3 kg/ha	165.40	200.07	92.72	153.75	20.51	0.043	1.10	118.90
T ₃ :100% NPK + S @ 40 kg/ha	162.65	199.95	92.05	154.65	20.46	0.044	1.00	115.50
T ₄ :100% NPK + Zn @ 3 + S @ 40 kg/ha	180.25	201.45	96.60	161.08	21.43	0.043	1.15	121.90
T ₅ :100% NPK + Treatment with <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 30 g/kg seed	161.15	195.05	91.22	151.63	20.09	0.043	1.00	114.60
T ₆ : FYM @ 5 t/ha + 100% NPK [NPK content of FYM] furrow	162.40	198.60	92.00	152.85	21.63	0.046	1.05	119.47
T ₇ :100% NPK + FYM @ 5 t/ha [furrow]	188.95	228.65	104.60	183.10	23.71	0.045	1.15	135.56
T ₈ :FYM @ 10 t/ha	135.60	175.85	70.40	118.60	14.07	0.037	1.00	98.68
T ₉ :125% NPK	186.75	224.00	98.90	162.85	21.82	0.043	1.00	122.55
T ₁₀ :100% NP	164.45	192.45	80.86	130.25	17.74	0.043	1.00	105.00
T ₁₁ :1100% N	156.85	187.45	72.60	119.42	16.16	0.044	1.00	100.19
T ₁₂ : Control [N ₀ P ₀ K ₀]	125.55	143.45	50.90	78.12	10.87	0.041	0.95	83.20
CD (P=0.05)	22.05	28.35	10.91	19.31	1.53	NS	NS	17.98

Table 2 Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield attributes and yield of maize

Treatment	Cob length (cm)	Weight of grains/cob (g)	Shelling (%)	Test weight (g)	Yield (kg/ha)		Harvest Index (%)
					Grain	Straw	
T ₁ :100% NPK (RDF)	17.10	85.70	75.29	196.81	3480	4862	41.72
T ₂ :100% NPK + Zn @ 3 kg/ha	17.54	86.65	76.18	199.41	3670	5016	42.26
T ₃ :100% NPK + S @ 40 kg/ha	17.41	86.73	76.12	201.25	3585	4971	41.77
T ₄ :100% NPK + Zn @ 3 + S @ 40 kg/ha	18.15	92.63	76.85	202.55	3841	5197	42.48
T ₅ :100% NPK + Treatment with <i>Azotobacter</i> @ 30 g/kg seed	16.82	86.53	76.10	194.95	3593	4979	41.96
T ₆ : FYM @ 5 t/ha + 100% NPK [NPK content of FYM] furrow	17.05	84.30	75.19	197.55	3540	5011	41.40
T ₇ :100% NPK + FYM @ 5 t/ha [furrow]	18.47	99.90	78.60	211.81	4292	5647	43.18
T ₈ :FYM @ 10 t/ha	16.00	74.46	68.09	185.17	2690	3530	43.25
T ₉ :125% NPK	18.45	94.20	76.90	203.25	3990	5492	42.08
T ₁₀ :100% NP	15.30	76.89	74.37	192.17	3089	4331	41.63
T ₁₁ :1100% N	14.00	69.57	73.24	186.25	2560	3573	41.82
T ₁₂ : Control [N ₀ P ₀ K ₀]	11.10	48.19	54.90	162.19	1719	2295	42.83
CD (P=0.05)	2.28	12.43	10.46	24.54	480	615	NS

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of INM on growth

The results indicated T₇ (100% NPK + FYM @ 5 t/ha) showed significantly higher the plant height (60 DAS and at harvest), dry matter accumulation (60 DAS and at harvest) and CGR and being at par with T₄ (100% NPK + Zn @ 3 kg/ha + S @ 40 kg/ha) in plant height and dry matter accumulation (60 DAS) over other treatments and control (Table-1). However, the CGR unchanged under different levels of treatments. This might be due to the decomposition of FYM at later stage resulted into higher availability of nitrogen to crop growth. Application of inorganic with Farm yard manure (FYM) might have supplied the adequate and continuous amount of nutrients at different stages due to release of sufficient amount of nutrients by mineralization at a constant level that resulted in higher plant growth. These results are in conformity with (Sargoni and Poss, 2000; Pathak *et al.*, 2002; Reddy and Reddy, 2005).

Effect of INM on yield attributes and yield

Application of 100% + FYM @ 5 t/ha significantly higher the cob weight, cob length, weight of grains cob shelling percent, 1000 grain weight, seed yield and straw yield and remained at par with T₂, T₄, T₆ and T₉ in cob weight and T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆ and T₉ and T₄ and T₉ (100% NPK + Zn @ 3 kg/ha + S @ 40 kg/ha and 125% NPK) in weight of grain cob, seed yield and straw yield and T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₉, T₁₀. in shelling percent and T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₉ and T₁₀ in 1000 grain weight. Increase in maize grain yield with addition of farmyard manure and fertilizer may be attributed to the fact that farmyard manure being the store house of nutrients also made release of applied nutrients at its optimum at the same time improved the soil physical condition (Pathak *et al.*, 2005). However, number of cobs/plant and harvest index unchanged under different levels of treatments (Table-1). Increased dry matter accumulation with application of recommended dose of fertilizer or combined use of farmyard manure with nitrogen might have been resulted because of higher uptake of nutrients due to release of sufficient amount of nutrients by mineralization at a constant level that in turn gave higher yields (Table-2). These results are in close agreements with the findings with Kumar *et al.* (2005); Haque *et al.* (2010).

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the results of one year experiment, it is concluded that the maximum grain and straw yield from the maize (*var.* HQPM-1), can be achieved with the

application of 100% NPK + FYM @ 5 t/ha whereas under the shortage or unavailability of FYM crop may be fertilized with 125% of recommended NPK or 100% recommended NPK + Zn @ 3 kg/ha + S @ 40 kg/ha under North Gujarat Agro-climatic condition for getting comparable grain and straw yield.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are heartily thankful to Department of Agronomy, SD, Agricultural University Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat for providing field trial facilities and providing the facilities for the investigation.

REFERENCES

- Haque, S., Saha, A., Bandyopadhyay, S. and Patra, P.S. 2010. Performance of some maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars as affected by integrated nutrient management in terai region of West Bengal. *Crop Research*. 40(1, 2& 3): 53-58.
- Kumar, A., Gautam, R.C., Singh, R. and Rana, K.S. 2005. Growth, yield and economics of maize (*Zea mays*) – wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping sequence as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 75:709-711.
- Mehta, Y.K., Shakhawat, M.S. and Singhi, S.M. 2005. Influence of sulphur, phosphorus and farmyard manure on yield attributes and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Southern Rajasthan conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 50:203-205.
- Pathak, S.K., Singh, S.B. and Singh, S.N. 2002. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics in maize (*Zea mays*) – wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 47:325-332.
- Pathak, S.K., Singh, S.B., Jha, R.N. and Sharma, R.P. 2005. Effect of nutrient management on nutrient uptake and changes in soil fertility in maize (*Zea mays*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 50(4):269-273.
- Reddy, B.G. and Reddy, M.S. 2005. Soil health and crop productivity in alfisols with integrated plant nutrient supply system. *Proceedings of the Australian Agronomy Conference, Perth, W.A.*, pp. 102-104.
- Sargoni, H. and Poss, R. 2000. Vegetative production and mineral uptake of maize. *Southern Togo-Agronomic-Tropical*. 46(3):185-201.